

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,405	11/06/2001	Mikio Onodera	9281-4230	7631
757	7590 04/14/2005	EXAMINER		INER
BRINKS H	OFER GILSON & LIC	MCCLOUD, RENATA D		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EIL

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/992,405	ONODERA, MIKIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Renata McCloud	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/07/2005</u> .						
,						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1.3 and 6 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.	r alaction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Levin et al (U.S. Patent 6,154,201).

#### Levin et al teach:

Claim 1: A manual input device comprising: an actuator laterally movably fitted to a frame (Fig. 3A: 70;Col. 9'57: 60); a manual control knob fitted to a driving shaft of the actuator (Fig. 3A: 18; Col. 2:1-5), the knob swingably manipulated (e.g. Col. 5: 42-45); a first position sensor which detects a direction and an amount of lateral movement of the actuator (Col. 1:66-2:1; Col. 2:30-32), the amount of lateral movement of the actuator being detected at multiple locations along the same direction (e.g. Col. 14:28-67, P1

Art Unit: 2837

and P2; Col. 15: 23-48, P1-P4); a second position sensor (e.g. Fig. 4C: 76) which detects a directions and an amount of rotation of the driving shaft of the actuator (Col. 1: 65-66); and a controller which inputs positional signals outputted from the first and second position sensors to control the actuator (Col. 2: 10-15) and applies an external force to the manual control knob according to the way the knob is manipulated (Col. 2: 47-50).

Claim 3: the driving shaft (e.g. Fig. 3A: 50) of the actuator (e.g. Fig. 3A: 70) passes through a guide groove (e.g. Fig. 3A: 52) and the guide groove (e.g. Fig. 3A 52) restricts a direction and amount of lateral movement (e.g. Col. 8:40-45).

# Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 07 February 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that Levin et al do not teach a swingable actuator, applicant's disclosure does not distinguish "swingable" from "rotated". Referring Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary, a definition of "swing" is "to turn in place". There is nothing in applicant's claim language that precludes the examiner from reading Levin et al as meeting the claimed limitation of "swingably".

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renata McCloud whose telephone number is (571) 272-2069. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.- Fri. from 8 am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 4. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Renata McCloud Examiner Art Unit 2837

**RDM** 

MARLONT ELETCHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER